

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 K.A.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 MINDGEEK S.A.R.L. et al.,
15

16 Defendants.
17

Case No. 2: 24-cv-04786-WLH-ADS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
DEFENDANTS' SEALING
APPLICATIONS RELATED TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO
DISMISS THE COMPLAINTS IN
RELATED ACTIONS [ECF NOS.
69, 73, AND 76]**
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Court, having considered Defendants’ Sealing Applications (ECF Nos. 69, 73, and 76), all papers filed in support thereof, and Plaintiffs’ Omnibus Opposition to Defendants’ Sealing Applications (ECF No. 89), finds that Defendants’ Sealing Applications fail to demonstrate compelling reasons to seal the information below in connection with their dispositive motions. *Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006). Thus, the Court hereby **DENIES** Defendants’ Sealing Applications in their entirety and **ORDERS** the following documents

UNSEALED:

MindGeek [Dkt. 76-1]

Document	Requested Material To Be Sealed	Reason For Denial
Omnibus Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion to Dismiss of Defendants MindGeek, S.à r.l., MG Freesites Ltd, MG Premium Ltd, MindGeek USA Incorporated, MG Global Entertainment Inc., and 9219-1568 Quebec Inc.	Page 33, lines 3-4; and Page 36, line 8.	No compelling reason; superseded agreement; stale financial information
Declaration of Andreas Alkiviades Andreou in Support of The MindGeek Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss	Paragraph 10; Paragraph 12, page 3, portions of lines 25-28 & page 4, lines 1-9; Paragraph 14, page 4, portions of lines	No compelling reason; superseded agreement; stale financial information

Document	Requested Material To Be Sealed	Reason For Denial
	<p>22 & 24; Paragraph 15, page 5, lines 5-17; Paragraph 19, page 6, portions of lines 16, 19 & 20; Paragraph 21, page 6, portions of lines 26-28 & page 7, lines 1- 16; Paragraph 23, page 7, portions of lines 22-27; Paragraph 24; Paragraph 29, page 9, portions of lines 12-20; Paragraph 34, page 11, portions of lines 2-10; Paragraph 42, page 13, portions of lines 2-10; and Paragraph 50, page 15, portions of lines 2-10.</p>	

Redwood [Dkt. 69-1]

Document	Requested Material To Be Sealed	Reason For Denial
Redwood Capital Management, LLC's Omnibus Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion	Consistent with redactions in document	No compelling reason; superseded agreement; stale financial information; not narrowly tailored

Document	Requested Material To Be Sealed	Reason For Denial
to Dismiss Complaints in Related Cases		

Colbeck [Dkt. 73-1]

Document	Requested Material To Be Sealed	Reason For Denial
CB Defendants’ Omnibus Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ Complaints (“Omnibus Motion to Dismiss”)	Consistent with redactions highlighted in document	No compelling reason; stale financial information; superseded agreement
Exhibit A to Omnibus Motion to Dismiss	Entirety	No compelling reason; superseded agreement; stale financial information; not narrowly tailored
Exhibit B to Omnibus Motion to Dismiss	Entirety	No compelling reason; superseded agreement; stale financial information; not narrowly tailored

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

HON. WESLEY L. HSU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE